UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,318	08/22/2003	Ronald L. Mahany	14366US02	9697
	7590 07/09/200 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
	DISON STREET	MAI, THIEN T		
CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2887	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/646,318	MAHANY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thien T. Mai	2887		

	Thien T. Mai	2887	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slast forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	•	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>56-72</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Thien M. Le/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2887	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The references cited in the Final Office action are still believed to meet the claim limitations. In response to applicant's request for clarifications into art rejection of claims 56-59, the Examiner notes that a typographical error has been made in the 103(a) rejection under Gombrich in view of Scholz furher in view of Katner. The claims under this rejection should have been 56-63, 69-72 instead of 59-63, 69-72 since all limitations claims 56-59 have been addressed in the Office Actions. For instance Scholz discloses a flat antenna of claim 57, Katner discloses a pair of antenna called for in claim 58-59. In response to applicant's argument that Gobrich et al does not teach "wherein the terminal ... is arranged to standardize logic levels and a format of the signals transmitted over the connector such that the terminal may be engaged by the communications module through the connector without adjustment of the communications module or the terminal", the Examiner notes that connector 120 is used to transmit logic level signals that is reflected from the bars of the barcode as the result of scanning. The bars and/or the white space next to the bars are well known in the art to yield a logic level such as 1 or 0. Wand device is also mounted with Random access memory RAM which is used to store digital information received and is transmitted when desired. Furthermore, the connector is also interpreted as item 368 seen in Fig. 29, and 31 that is used to couple the communications module (interpreted as any part that is capable of transmitting and receiving information i.e. 320) and terminal (i.e. base station 376). With respect to judicially created obviousness rejection of claims 56, 60, and 65, the Examiner notes that claim 105 of patent 141 recite a wireless communication module ..."included connector means for coupling signals between said control means and said wireless communication means and for standardizing the logic levels of the coupled signals such that said second module is removable and replaceable without requiring tuning adjustments" and claims 94 which depends on claims 91-93 recite that an antenna is embedded in the wireless communication module